could arise in light of the new information and permitted counsel for Mr. Brooks to

withdraw. The Court ordered new CJA counsel to be appointed to represent Mr.

24

25

26

Brooks.

- 4. The Court also determined that, having considered the complexity of the case, the volume of discovery, and the timing of the withdrawal, proceeding to trial on January 2, 2020 (1) would deny Mr. Brooks reasonable time to obtain counsel, (2) would unreasonably deny Mr. Brooks continuity of counsel, and (3) would deny new counsel for Mr. Brooks the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
- 5. The Court further determined that, for the reasons stated above, the ends of justice served by continuing the trial date from January 2, 2020 to a later date outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and that the failure to grant such a continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A).

In light of the foregoing, the Court orders the trial date to be continued from January 2, 2020 to February 10, 2020. The Court also finds that the period of delay resulting from this continuance from December 31, 2019 through February 10, 2020 is excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

IT IS SO ORDERED this 3rd day of January, 2020.

22

23

25

26

The Honorable James L. Robart U.S District Court Judge

m R. Plit

Presented by:

s/ Seungjae Lee

Assistant United States Attorney